

epl submission comments:

The paper questions the validity of the conventional BCS theory of superconductivity. So it is likely that it will elicit negative reactions from so-called experts in superconductivity, it did so when I submitted it to American Physical Society journals. It should be judged on its merits. It is correct and it is important.

☆ **editorial.office@epletters.net**

Publications January 3, 2020 at 02:16

E

EPL G41974 Your submission

To: Jorge Hirsch,

Reply-To: editorial.office@epletters.net

Professor Jorge E. Hirsch
Dept. of Physics, 0319
University of California at San Diego
USA - La Jolla CA 92093

Mulhouse, 3 January 2020

REF.: G41974
Inconsistency of the conventional theory of superconductivity
by J.E. Hirsch

Dear
Professor Hirsch,

Thank you for having submitted the above manuscript for publication in EPL.

The estimated length of your paper is equivalent to 6,3 printed pages.

In case of request for revision, please take care that the maximum length of 7 printed pages will not be exceeded.

Please note that upon publication, accepted manuscripts are classified according to the first PACS. Therefore please make sure they are given in order of importance!

Your manuscript will now be sent to a Co-Editor and we shall inform you as soon as a decision has been made. In the meantime you can follow the development of the refereeing procedure by consulting our on-line status inquiry system:

<https://authors.epletters.net/4DCGI/15/1309/jorgehirsch/G41974>